

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,921	-	11/16/2001	Alexandr Ivanovich Nikitin	U 013722-1	1444
140	7590	06/24/2004		EXAMINER	
LADAS		_	VINCENT, SEAN E		
NEW YO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V i					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(3)/					
	10/009,921	NIKITIN ET AL.	9					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Sean E Vincent	1731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daywill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 November 2001 is/a								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	-,,	• •	21(4)					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			` '					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. An attempt was made to determine the scope and meaning of the claims so that a search and examination could be performed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kersting (US 4717339). Kersting taught an oven with gas-permeable trays for moving thermal molds through the oven (see figures and col. 3, lines 34-41). Note that the manner or method in which a machine is to be utilized is not germane to the issue of patentability of the machine itself, see *In re Casey*, 152 U.S.P.Q. 235 (CCPA 1967).

Art Unit: 1731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kersting in view of Simpson et al (US 5830251).
- 8. Kersting did not teach making decorative facing slabs from broken glass. Simpson et al taught methods of making ceramic tiles from recycled glass as well as other known methods of making building materials from glass containing wastes (see col. 1, line 8 to col. 3, line 38). It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the apparatus of Kersting to make decorative facing slabs from broken glass because Simpson et al taught that the benefits of recycling glass for such products.

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited to further show the state of the art.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E Vincent whose telephone number is (571) 272-1194. The examiner can normally be reached on M F (8:30 6:00).
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-91\$7 (toll-free).

Sean E Vincent Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731